APPENDIX A

QUALITY ASSURANCE CHECKLIST FOR INTERNAL REVIEW AND AUDIT COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

A. Objectives:

- 1. To determine that professional audits are being performed in accordance with prescribed procedures and that a quality product is produced in a timely manner.
- 2. To determine that the internal review program is adequate and responsive to the needs of the commander.
- 3. To ensure that audit recommendations provide feasible solutions to problems.
- 4. To determine that the IRAC Office has an effective tracking and follow-up program to identify noncompliance with agreed upon audit recommendations for command.

agi	cca	ароп	addit iccommendations for command.		
В.	Eva	luat	ion Procedures:	YES	NO
	1.	0rg	anization, Staffing and Training.		
		a.	Does the IRAC Office report to the Commander or Principal Deputy Commander?		
		b.	Does the IRAC Chief have direct communication with the commander or principal deputy commander on a regular basis?		
		C.	Is current staff at authorized level and is the staffing sufficient to accomplish mission?		
		d.	Is current staff all fully qualified 511 auditor personnel?		
		e.	Does the mission and functions statement accurately define the responsibilities of the IRAC Office?		

2.

f.	Do the IRAC job descriptions accurately	YES	NO
	describe responsibilities of each position?		
g.	Does the job performance objectives/ individual standards adequately address the significant duties and responsibil- ities of the position?		
h.	Is an Individual Development Plan (IDP) prepared for each auditor and are training records maintained documenting that continuing education requirements are being met?		
i.	Are the continuing education and training (CET) requirements being met for each two year period (89-90, 91-92, 93-94, etc.) i.e., 80 hours total with at least 20 hours each year and at least 24 hours in subjects related to the government environment and to government auditing?		
j.	Does the IRAC Office reflect a professional working environment in terms of appearance and atmosphere?		
k.	Is there any evidence of external or personal impairments to independence?		
Ann	ual Plan and Semi-Annual Updates.		
a.	Is an annual plan with semi-annual updates prepared in accordance with prescribed procedures?		
b.	Are audit areas solicited annually by the Commander from the staff and requests prioritized for Commander consideration?		
С.	Has an auditable entity file been developed and kept current and is it being used?		
d.	Are high risk/high payoff areas being scheduled for audit?		

) .	Suppl 1 AR 11-7 Jan 95
	e.	Is the annual plan well balanced with time being spent in both mission and support areas and cyclical/operating-type audits avoided?	YE	:S	NO
	f.	Are other factors being considered in establishing audit priorities such as command emphasis/high visibility programs; reviews required by higher authority; newness or major changes in programs or systems; and material internal control weaknesses?			
	g.	Does the annual plan contain realistic estimates of workdays required to accomplish the workload?			
	h.	Is a schedule of "excess" or "unresourced" audits, listed in priority order, prepared and attached to the annual plan?	_		
	i.	Is the annual plan discussed with and approved by the Commander; reviewed by the MSC IRAC Office, where applicable; and forwarded to HQUSACE?	_		
	j.	Were significant variances between estimated and actual staff days adequately explained?	_		
	k.	Were reviews scheduled but not per- formed in the prior year carried over to the current plan or an explanation provided for their exclusion?	_	-	
3.	Audi	it Process.			
	a.	Are audits being conducted in accordance with prescribed procedures as documented by working paper checklists?			
	b.	Are in-process reviews performed to. evaluate progress and quality of work at key milestone completion dates?			

			YES	NO
	С.	Are the supervisory reviews documented and adequate?		
	d.	Are overall audit times consistent with guidelines and time controls for the major audit segments and are the actual times versus the estimates documented?		
4.	Repo	orting Process.		
	a.	Do the reports contain all of the required elements and are they prepared in the proper format?		
	b.	Do the reports contain sufficient back- ground information to provide the reader with an adequate understanding of the audit entity, i.e., size, volume and nature of the operations reviewed?		
	С.	Do the reports clearly show the objectives of the audit and the conclusion for each objective?		
	d.	Does the scope and methodology paragraph show period covered by audit, when audit work was performed, extent of audit coverage, any scope limitations, and any departures from standards?		
	e.	Does the scope and methodology paragraph clearly explain the techniques used to gather and analyze evidence, including methods used for selecting or analyzing samples where applicable?		
	f.	Do the reports contain a statement that the audit was conducted in accordance wit generally accepted government auditing standards?	ch	
	g.	Do the reports contain a discussion of the internal controls assessed and the material. weaknesses noted, if any?		

h.	Are repeat findings clearly identified and reported to the Commander?	YES	NO
i.	Are potential monetary benefits being discussed in the report and, if so, are they reasonable and fully supported?		
j.	Do the reports present all major findings and recommendations contained in the working papers?		
k.	Are the findings and recommendations adequately supported by objective evidence in the working paper file?		
1.	Are the findings of noncompliance presented in proper perspective, i.e., extent of noncompliance to number of cases examined or universe?		
m.	Do the findings include condition, criteria, cause and effect (where applicable) and are these areas fully developed?		
n.	Are the recommendations realistic and sufficiently specific to correct the deficiencies noted and to avoid similar problems from occurring in the future?		
0.	Are written management comments requested and are they received in a timely manner?		
p.	Were the management comments responsive, i.e., did they include effective corrective actions with actual or target completion dates or reasons for non-concurrence with the recommendations?		
q.	Do the reports include management's position on each recommendation and the audit evaluation/rebuttal, if necessary?		

			YES	NO
	r.	Do the reports present information in a fair, convincing, objective and clear manner?		
	S.	Are the reports free of grammatical errors or misspellings which detract from their quality?		
	t.	Were reports issued in a timely manner?		
	u.	Are nonconcurrence adjudicated by the Commander within six months of the date of the report?		
	v.	Were copies of the final report submitted to appropriate officials?		
5.	Aud	it Liaison and Compliance.		
	a.	Does the IRAC office serve as the liaison with external audit agencies, e.g. GAO, IG DoD and USAAA?		
	b.	Are the Commander, MSC Audit Office and HQUSACE Audit Office kept informed of all external audit visits?		
	С.	Are replies to USAAA tentative findings and recommendations forwarded to USAAA within 30 calendar days?		
	d.	Are command replies to USAAA reports reviewed by IRAC offices to ensure they are adequate and complete and forwarded thru channels in a timely manner?		
6.	Trac	cking and Follow-up System.		
	a.	Has a tracking system been established to monitor implementation of corrective actions until completed?		

				to	AR	ppl 1 11-7 an 95
	b.	Are quarterly reports provided to the Commander showing the status of unimplemented audit recommendations?		YES	5	NO
	C.	Does management provide written confirmation, with supporting documentation, when corrective actions have been completed?	-			
	d.	Are on-site follow-up reviews performed for significant findings and recommendations?	<u>-</u> [•	
	е.	Are the follow-up reviews timely and adequate to verify the effectiveness of corrective actions taken?				
	f.	Do the working papers clearly document work performed and results and do they support the conclusions?				
	g.	Are the follow-up reports (when require prepared in the proper format and do the communicate the necessary information?				
	h.	If the follow-up reviews show that corrective actions were inadequate, has the Commander directed the activiti to take immediate corrective action on the unimplemented recommendations?	es			
7.	Gene	eral.	_		_	
	a.	Are any operational-type duties being performed?	_			
	b.	Are the auditors appointed to any boards or committees which could compromise their independence?		_	_	
	C.	Is the pertinent internal review report information transmitted electronically to CEAO-I for entry into the IRAD system within 10 days?	m		_	

			YES	NO	
	d.	Are the semi-annual reports prepared in a correct and timely reamer?			_
8.		ision Staff Program Management c CEAO Use).			
	a.	Are Division annual plans prepared and do they include scheduled quality assurance reviews of district IRAC offices?			_
	b.	Do Division audit offices review and critique District annual plans and provide feedback prior to submittal to HQUSACE?			_
	С.	Do Division audit offices conduct annual on-site quality assurance reviews of District IRAC offices and make interim visits as necessary to resolve problem areas?			
	d.	Are the quality assurance reviews in sufficient depth, based upon the checklist in Appendix A of USACE Suppl 1 to AR 11-7, to detect deficiencies and provide guidance to improve operations?			
	e.	Do the quality assurance reports contain the information prescribed in paragraph 1-4e(1)(b) of USACE Suppl 1 to AR 11-7 and are copies provided to CEAO?			